United States Patent and Trademark

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5657	
09/705,844	11/06/2000	Mitsuaki Oshima	2000 1524		
75	90 04/17/2003				
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
Suite 800 2033 "K" Street, N.W.			LĖ, AMANDA T		
Washington, DO					
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2634	d	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	7				
Office Action Summary									
		09/705,84	+	OSHIMA, MITSUAKI Art Unit					
		Examiner	1.5	1					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Amanda T		2634 orrespondence address	s				
Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 E	December 2	002 .						
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
3)	<u>- </u>								
Dispositi	on of Claims	Lx parte Qt	layle, 1000 O.B. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-49</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>39-49</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election re	quirement.						
	on Papers	_							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2000</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/037,108</u> .								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment		priority of	55 5.5.5. 33 120	G. 13/01 12 1.					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2-</u>	<u>-7</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152					

Application/Control Number: 09/705,844

Art Unit: 2634

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 39-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,256,357 in view of Chung et al (5,214,656).

Regarding claims (39, 40) and (46, 47), the patented claims 1 and 4 discloses all the claimed limitations, except for the claimed limitation of "error correction code (ECC) encoding". Regarding claims (41, 42) and (48, 49), the patented claims 2 and 5 discloses all the subject matters, except for the claimed limitations of "error correction code (ECC) decoding". Regarding claims 43-45, the patented claim 3 discloses all the subject matters claimed, except for the claimed "ECC encoding and decoding".

Chung et al discloses a modulation system employing two different ECC encoders for two different input data streams at the transmitter (Fig. 1, 121, 131) and two corresponding ECC decoders at the receiver (Fig. 2, 332, 331). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system and method described in the patented claims using Chung et al's ECC encoding and decoding teachings to obtain a system as presently

·-· E

Art Unit: 2634

A . ..

claimed. The modification provides different error protection levels to data streams of different data types, as necessary.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 39-49 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the double patenting rejections set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Amanda Le** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Stephen Chin**, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

AMANDAT.LE